Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	12 <i>1</i> 1/	09/787,195	DAMES ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated litterview Summi	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Elizabeth Quan	1743	
All Participants:		Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) Elizabeth Quan.		(3)		
(2) <u>B. Aaron Schulman</u> .		(4)		•
Date of Interview: 21 April 2004		Time:		
	☐ Applicai No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sidirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a cord of the substance	written summary of the interview, since	the substance
				-
			•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representat	ve Signature – if appr	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified the Attorney that a second lack of unity is required in which references would demonstrate that the solid support of claim 1 does not make a contribution over the prior art, such that unity is lacking and restriction is appropriate between the device of claims 1-10 and method of making of claims 11-16. Attorney indicated that a written lack of unity should be sent along with the prior art to show his client..